RESEARCHES REGARDING THE EFFECT OF SOME ECOLOGICAL PRODUCTS ON GRAFTING SUCCESS AT SOME PEAR AND PLUM CULTIVARS

CERCETĂRI PRIVIND EFECTUL UNOR PRODUSE ECOLOGICE ASUPRA PROCESULUI DE PRINDERE LA ALTOIRE LA UNELE SOIURI DE PĂR ȘI PRUN

CAULET Raluca¹, PANDELEA A.¹, PANEA Teodora ²

¹University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Iaşi, Romania Centrul de Cercetare Dezvoltare pentru Biostimulatori "Bios" Cluj

Abstract: This paper study influence of ecological products treatments on some fruit tree species grafting success. Rootstocks from species pear and plum were grafted in 2008-2010 with scions of pear (Curé, Williams and Comptesse) and plum (Stanley, Pescăruș and Tuleu gras) which had different grafting compatibility degrees. In grafting moment treatments with ecological products P1 and P2 were made. Biometric measurements concerned grafting success (%), trees length, average number of shoots/tree, stem diameter above and below grafted point, scion: rootstock diameter ratio. Results made in evidence a positive influence of treatments with both ecological products in grafting moment, especially when P2 was used.

Key words: ecological products, incompatibility, scion, rootstock, grafting

Rezumat: Lucrarea studiază influența aplicării tratamentelor cu produse ecologice asupra procesului de prindere la altoire la unele specii pomicole. Portaltoi din speciile păr și prun au fost altoiți pe parcursul anilor 2008-2010 cu soiuri de par, (Curé, Williams și Comptesse de Paris) și prun (Stanley, Pescăruș și Tuleu gras) ce prezintă diferite grade de compatibilitate la altoire, În timpul altoirii au fost aplicate tratamente cu două produse ecologice P1 și P2 în zona de altoire. Masuratorile biometrice au vizat procesul de prindere la altoire, înălțimea pomilor, numărul mediu de lăstari pe pom, diametrul pomilor, raportul dintre diametrul altoiului și cel al portaltoiului. În urma determinărilor efectuate s-a constatat o influență pozitivă a aplicării tratamentelor cu cele două produse ecologie, în special în cazul utilizării produsului P2.

Cuvinte cheie: produse ecologice, incompatibilitate, soi, portaltoi, altoire

INTRODUCTION

High quality nursery trees are essential for success in production systems where early production is the prime goal. Many papers focus on this problem in order to understand the mechanisms of graft development, referring to both cytological and biochemical responses occurring at an early phase in response to grafting, as well as to the consequences of these events on the future graft response. Incompatible grafts can grow for several years without any external symptom of incompatibility indicating the presence of functional vascular connections (Errea and Felipe, 2001). For this reason, the delayed appearance of the symptoms increases the time required for detection of graft-compatibility and

slows down new rootstock selection programs. The aim of this study is to determine the effect of treatment with some ecological products on grafting success at some rootstock scion combination with different compatibility degrees.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

To perform the experiment, three pear cultivars Curé, Williams and Comptesse de Paris, grafted on Pyrus sativa and Cydonia oblonga and three plum cultivars Stanley, Pescăruş and Tuleu gras grafted on Prunus domestica and Prunus cerasifera were used. The experiment was conducted in the "V. Adamachi" didactic farm of the University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Iasi from 2008 to 2010. Rootstocks were planted at a spacing of 0.9 x 0.2 m and budded by chip budding method.

At the grafting moment treatments with ecological products P1 and P2 (produced by Institute for Research and Development for bio-stimulators Bios Cluj) were made, by applying the product around the grafted area.

Biometric measurements made on grafted trees concerned grafting success (%), trees length, average number of shoots / tree, stem diameter above and below grafted point, scion: rootstock diameter ratio. The results were statistically interpreted by variance analysis method. The trial consisted of three replicates with 50 trees in each. Variance analysis of main quality traits was done.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A first aspect of this study was the analysis of the grafting success which had a higher percentage when grafting was made on *Pyrus sativa* and *Prunus domestica*. Also, an increasing of the grafting success percentage at the variants treated with ecological products, comparing with control (untreated), especially when Product 2 was used (tab. 1) has been observed.

Table 1

Average of the grafting succes pergentage at some pear and plum cultivars with different grafting compatibility degrees

	V1- V2 -		V3 –	Limit differences		
Rootstock/scion	untreat (control)	treat. with P1	treat. with P2	DL 5%	DL 1%	DL 0,1%
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	84,56	91,7 ^(x)	93,59 ^(xxx)	2.33	4.57	7.98
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	82,38	89,2 ^(x)	89,88 ^(xx)	2.52	4.97	8.68
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	83,85	91,0 ^(x)	91,82 ^(xx)	3.54	6.96	12.15
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	75,88	82,1 ^(x)	82,94 ^(xx)	2.50	4.97	8.68
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus sativa	84,01	90,9 ^(x)	91,99 ^(xx)	2,21	3,27	3,89
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia oblonga	75,12	81,2 ^(x)	81,88 ^(xx)	2,35	3,48	4,14
Stanley/Prunus sativa	87,49	94,66 ^(x)	96,86 ^(xxx)	1.32	2.58	4.51
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	85,65	90,96	92,75 ^(xx)	1.12	2.19	3.82
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	89,01	93,99	96,89 ^(x)	1.74	3.38	5.90
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	78,29	81,58	83,11	1.23	2.39	4.17
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	88,13	92,62	93,76	1.15	2.19	3.82
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	77,63	81,67	81,85	1.43	2.78	4.86

Scion length was measured three times during the vegetation period in May, July and October, and variations had been observed due to rootstock, and treatment variant (tab. 2).

 ${\it Table~2} \\ {\it Scion length~at~some~pear~and~plum~cultivars~with~different~grafting~compatibility~} \\ {\it degrees} \\$

	Scion average length (cm) Limit differences						
	MAY					-	
Rootstock / scion	V1-untreat (control)	V2 – treat. with P1	V3 – treat. with P2	DL 5%	DL 1%	DL 0,1%	
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	57,86	66,64 ^(xxx)	67,12 ^(xxx)	1.85	3.67	6.42	
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	48,42	55,45 ^(xxx)	55,97 ^(xxx)	1.48	2.93	5.13	
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	51,63	58,06 ^(xx)	58,60 ^(xx)	1.81	3.59	6.28	
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	43,37	48,23 ^(xx)	48,31 ^(xx)	1.81	3.59	6.28	
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus sativa	56,62	63,22 ^(xx)	64,09 ^(xx)	1.4	2.78	4.86	
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia		(99)	(ww)	1.66	3.29	5.76	
oblonga	50,05	55,20 ^(xx)	55,86 ^(xx)				
Stanley/Prunus sativa	55,30	61,95 ^(xx)	63,10 ^(xxx)	1.70	3.37	4.49	
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	61,99	69,03 ^(xx)	71,23 ^(xxx)	1.83	3.62	4.83	
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	52,97	59,18 ^(xx)	60,65 ^(xxx)	1.99	3.94	5.25	
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	65,35	72,53 ^(xx)	73,98 ^(xx)	1.76	3.47	4.63	
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	53,58	59,83 ^(xx)	60,89 ^(xx)	1.50	2.97	3.96	
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	61,03	67,57 ^(xx)	67,83 ^(xx)	1.21	2.39	3.18	
			JULY				
	V1-untreat (control)	V2 – treat. with P1	V3 – treat. with P2	DL 5%	DL 1%	DL 0,1%	
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	132,22	144,41 ^(x)	147,2 ^(xx)	1.5	2.99	5.23	
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	110,27	119,48 ^(x)	121,54 ^(xx)	1.65	3.29	5.76	
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	115,44	126,90 ^(x)	128,36 ^(xx)	1.91	3.81	6.66	
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	95,18	104,01 ^(x)	105,42 ^(xx)	2.04	4.07	7.12	
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus sativa	126,26	138,53 ^(x)	141,54 ^(xx)	2.5	4.98	8.72	
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia				2.32	4.62	8.09	
oblonga	110,04	119,26 ^(x)	121,12 ^(xx)				
Stanley/Prunus sativa	124,30	139,86 ^(xx)	141,75 ^(xxx)	2.76	5.45	7.27	
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	140,32	155,25 ^(xx)	156,48 ^(xx)	2.76	5.46	7.29	
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	119,48	132,19 ^(xx)	135,2 ^(xx)	2.66	5.27	7.02	
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	145,73	157,81 ^(x)	164,32 ^(xx)	2.86	5.66	7.55	
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	119,96	131,39 ^(x)	136,25 ^(xx)	2.58	5.10	6.80	
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	133,63	145,55 ^(x)	148,15 ^(xx)	2.55	5.05	6.73	
			OCTOBE	R			
	V1-untreat (control)	V2 – treat. with P1	V3 – treat, with P2	DL 5%	DL 1%	DL 0,1%	
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	192,36	199,03	206,94 ^(x)	4.25	8.46	14.80	
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	162,61	175,65 ^(x)	178,10 ^(x)	2.75	5.47	9.58	
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	171,32	181,71	181,85	3.45	6.87	12.02	
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	164,33	170,72	172,48	2.35	4.68	8.19	
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus sativa	182,45	195,44 ^(x)	197,32 ^(x)	4.51	8.97	15.71	
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia oblonga	165,02	173,37	175,90	4.86	9.67	16.93	
Stanley/Prunus sativa	203,29	216,27	216,31	3.53	6.99	9.32	
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	199,06	210,31	213,97 ^(x)	3.06	6.06	8.08	
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	205,96	215,62	220,17	4.07	8.05	10.73	
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	173,51	179,75	185,14	4.17	8.25	11.00	
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	190,69	204,80 ^(x)	207,64 ^(x)	4.37	8.64	11.52	
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	167,41	175,13	180,12 ^(x)	3.96	7.84	10.45	

Analyzing this scions length at compatible and incompatible combination of pear/quince we didn't observe significant variations of this parameter, values recorded being contiguous. But in case of plum, incompatible association scion /rootstock (Pescarus/P. cerasifera and Tuleu gras/P. cerasifera) had smaller values than compatible association, which can suggest an accentuation of incompatibility at this species. Rootstock influence has been observed at all the studied species. Grafting on *Pyrus sativa* and *Prunus domestica* lead to the obtaining of vigorous trees, with longer shoots, than grafting on *Cydonia oblonga* and *Prunus cerasifera*. Since the starting of the vegetation period an intense growing of shoots at variants treated with Product 2 has been observed, especially when grafting was made on *Pyrus sativa* and *Prunus domestica*. During the vegetation period differences become smaller, although at the end of growing period treated variants recorded higher values of scion shoots than untreated variants.

Scions branching degree is influenced mostly by rootstock, which becomes responsible of the individual length of the shoots and angle, influencing in a great measure trees habitat. These trees give fruit earlier, and this fact has a significant influence on branches by modifying the branching angle.

Regarding average number of shoots/tree, results revealed a distinct influence of rootstock. At pear trees a higher featuring degree was recorded at variants grafted on *Pyrus sativa*, but in case of plum trees a better ramification degree was recorded at trees grafted on *Prunus cerasifera* (tab.3).

Average number of shoots/tree at some pear and plum cultivars

Table 3

Rootstock / scion	V1- untreat (control)	V2 – treat. with P1	V3 – treat. with P2	DL 5%	DL 1%	DL 0,1%
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	6,08	6,11	6,1	0,25	0,37	0,44
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	4,73	4,74	4,7	0,45	0,67	0,79
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	6,47	6,48	6,48	0,86	1,27	1,51
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	4,56	4,57	4,56	0,75	1,11	1,32
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus sativa	5,85	5,88	5,87	0,56	0,83	0,99
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia oblonga	4,34	4,35	4,34	0,32	0,47	0,56
Stanley/Prunus sativa	7,80	7,83	7,82	0,89	1,32	1,57
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	8,04	8,07	8,1	0,66	0,98	1,16
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	8,10	8,10	8,15	0,91	1,35	1,60
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	8,30	8,33	8,34	0,96	1,42	1,69
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	8,25	8,29	8,3	0,88	1,30	1,55
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	8,36	8,60	8,54	0,25	0,37	0,44

Irrespective of specie and rootstock, treatment with ecological products did not influence significantly the number of shoots/tree, values of this parameter being close in both treated and untreated variants.

Coalescence of grafting partners is accompanied by changes in anatomic structure both level of the rootstock and scion due to reciprocal interaction, preponderance being observed at the scions level (Schmid, Heiner, 1999). Because of these reason stem diameter (measured above and below the grafing point) and the ratio between these indicators offer a complex image regarding vigour of grafted tree and coalescence degree.

Stem diameter was higher at pear both scion and rootstock level when grafting was made on *Pyrus sativa* than *Cydonia oblonga* (tab 4.). At plum trees bigger values of this parameter were recorded when grafting was made on *Prunus cerasifera*, which may be due to higher vigour of this rootstock comparing with *Prunus domestica*.

Table 4
Stem diameter at some pear and plum cultivars with different grafting compatibility
degrees

	Rootstock diameter (2 cm below grafted area) (mm)					
Rootstock / scion	V1- untreat (control)	V2 – treat. with P1	V3 – treat. with P2	DL 5%	DL 1%	DL 0,1%
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	23,39	24,53	24,85	1,21	2,38	3,17
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	21,75	22,67	23,87	1,85	3,66	4,88
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	23,58	25,20	25,23 ⁽	0,90	1,78	2,38
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	21,60	22,68	24,08	1,35	2,67	3,56
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus	21,63	22,89	23,51	1,62	3,21	4,28
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia	21,91	22,87	24,44	1,92	3,80	5,07
Stanley/Prunus sativa	23,69	24,83	24,8	1.25	1,85	2,20
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	26,97	28,13	28,21	1.67	2,47	2,94
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	25,05	25,85	25,86	1.61	2,38	2,83
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	28,65	29,52	29,95	1.7	2,52	2,99
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	23,74	24,88	24,56	1.58	2,34	2,78
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	26,79	29,36	28,45	1.44	2,13	2,53
	Scion di	iameter (2 c	m above	grafted	area) (n	nm)
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	19,85	20,17	20,75	1,83	3,56	4,75
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	17,90	18,92	19,72	1,52	3,01	4,01
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	18,71	20,19 ^(x)	21,03	0,94	1,86	2,48
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	17,07	19,61 ^(xx)	20,66	1,27	2,51	3,35
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus	18,17	19,12	20,05	1,24	2,46	3,27
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia	17,04	18,35 ^(x)	20,09(1,95	3,86	5,15
Stanley/Prunus sativa	20,70	20,87	21,56	1,44	2,13	2,53
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	19,07	21,64 ^(xx)	21,81	1,86	2,75	3,27
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	19,56	21,31 ^(x)	21,15	1,49	2,21	2,62
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	19,73	21,44 ^(x)	22,18	0,91	1,35	1,60
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	18,86	20,26 ^(x)	21,10 ⁽	1,33	1,97	2,34
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	21,96	22,49	22,72	1,27	1,88	2,24

Scion/rootstock stem diameter ratio is used as an important parameter in grafting success evaluation. Values close by 1 of this parameter suggest a good coalescence of the two grafting partners and a further good development of the trees. A slight increasing tendency of the values of scion/rootstock stem diameter ratio it has been observed at the variants treated with ecological products (both Product 1 and Product 2) irrespective of graft compatibility degree (tab.5). At pear incompatible variants scion/rootstock stem diameter ratio values were close to those recorded at compatible combinations. Instead at plum trees, a net differentiation of this parameter values between compatible and incompatible combinations has been recorded, higher values being noted at compatible association scion rootstock Pescaruş/*Prunus sativa*, Tuleu gras/*Prunus sativa*, Stanley/*Prunus sativa* and Stanley/*Prunus cerasifera*..

Table 5
Scion/rootstock stem diameter ratio at some pear and plum cultivars with different grafting compatibility degrees

Rootstock / scion	V1-untreat (control)	V2 – treat. with P1	V3 – treat. with P2	
Curé/ Pyrus sativa	0,85	0,82	0,84	
Curé/ Cydonia oblonga	0,82	0,83	0,83	
Williams/ Pyrus sativa	0,79	0,80	0,83	
Williams/ Cydonia oblonga	0,78	0,79	0,80	
Comptesse de Paris/ Pyrus sativa	0,84	0,84	0,85	
Comptesse de Paris/ Cydonia oblonga	0,78	0,80	0,82	
Stanley/Prunus sativa	0,87	0,84	0,87	
Stanley/Prunus cerasifera	0,71	0,77	0,77	
Pescaruş/Prunus sativa	0,78	0,82	0,82	
Pescăruş/Prunus cerasifera	0,69	0,73	0,74	
Tuleu gras/Prunus sativa	0,79	0,81	0,86	
Tuleu gras/Prunus cerasifera	0,76	0,77	0,80	

In Romania, ecological products are used in orchards in the last decencies, but their utilization in grafting process is relatively new, and these results has to be verified by the furtherer studies.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Irrespective of specie and rootstock, an increasing of grafting success percentage has been observed at treated variants comparing with untreated (control), especially when **Product 2** was used.
- 2. At the end of the growing season treated with ecological products had a higher shoots length comparing with control, but a difference regarding featuring degree between treated and untreated variants was not observed.
- 3. Scion diameter recorded higher values when **Product 2** was applied comparing with control and those treated with product 1, which leaded to an increasing of scion/rootstock stem diameter ratio so we selected **Product 2** for furtherer researches.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a research project PN II Parteneriate 52-109/2008.

REFERENCES

- 1. Schmid Heiner, 1999 Pomii fructiferi. Metode de altoire. Editura M.A.S.T., Bucuresti.
- Gudarowska Ewelina, Adam Szewczuk, 2004 The influence of agrotechnical methods used in the nursery on quality of planting material and precocity of bearing in young apple trees in the orchard. Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research, vol. 12, pages 91-96.
- Pilar Errea, Lilibeth Garay, Juan Antonio Marín, 2001 Early detection of graft incompatibility in apricot (Prunus armeniaca) using in vitro techniques. Physiologia Plantarum Volume 112, Issue 1, pages 135–141.